

# Requirements For IPv6 in ICT Equipment - new vision

*Proposal authors: Merike Kaeo, Jan Žorž and Sander Steffann*

## Editors:

- Jan
- Merike
- Sander

## Table of content:

### [Introduction](#)

[General information on how to use this document](#)

[How to specify requirements](#)

[Lists of mandatory and optional RFC/3GPP standards support for various hardware and software](#)

[Definitions and descriptions of different type of devices](#)

[Lists of required RFC/3GPP standards for different type of hardware](#)

[Requirements for "host" equipment](#)

[Requirements for consumer grade "layer 2 switch" equipment](#)

[Requirements for enterprise/ISP grade "layer-2 switch" equipment](#)

[Requirements for "router or layer-3 switch" equipment](#)

[Requirements for "network security equipment"](#)

[Requirements for CPE equipment](#)

[Requirements for Mobile Nodes](#)

[Requirements for Load balancers:](#)

[Requirements for IPv6 support in software](#)

[Skill requirements of the systems integrator](#)

[Declaration of IPv6 competence](#)

[Acknowledgments for original RIPE-501 contributions:](#)

[Acknowledgements for new version:](#)

## Introduction

To ensure the smooth and cost-efficient uptake of IPv6 across their networks, it is important that governments and large enterprises specify requirements for IPv6 compatibility when seeking tenders for **Information and Communication Technology** (ICT) equipment and support. This document is intended to provide a Best Common Practice (BCP) **and does not specify any standards or policy itself.**

**It can serve as a template that can be used by governments, large enterprises and all other organizations when seeking for IPv6 support in their tenders or equipment requirements and offering guidance on what specifications to ask for. It can also serve as an aid to those people or organisations interested in tendering for government or enterprise contracts.**

Be aware that standards listed here have their origin in various bodies, as these operate independent from the RIPE community any of these standards might be changed or become replaced with newer version. You also need to make sure you adjust this guidance to your specific local needs

### **General information on how to use this document**

IPv6 Ready Logo certificate can be required for any of the devices. This is the easiest way for vendors providing the equipment to prove that it fulfills basic IPv6 requirements. The tender initiator shall also provide the list of required mandatory and optional RFCs in order not to exclude vendors that did not yet put their equipment under IPv6 Ready Logo testing certifications. This way public tenders can't be accused of preferring any type or vendor of equipment.

When we specify the list of required RFCs, we must list all mandatory requirements, except the entries that starts with "If [functionality] is requested...". These entries are mandatory only if certain functionality is required.

Certain features that are in the 'optional' section in this document might be important for your specific case and/or organization. In such cases the tender initiator should move the requirement to the 'required' section in their tender request.

### **How to specify requirements**

As we stated above, IPv6 Ready Logo program does not cover all equipment that correctly supports IPv6, so declaring such equipment ineligible may not be desirable. This document suggests that the tender initiator specify that eligible equipment may be either certified under the IPv6 Ready program, or be compliant with the appropriate RFCs listed in the section below.

About IPv6 Ready Logo program:

<http://www.ipv6ready.org/>

Proposed text for the tender initiator:

*ICT equipment that supports and communicates over the IPv4 protocol must also support the IPv6 protocol and be able to communicate with other devices over IPv6. IPv6 support can be verified and certified by the IPv6 Ready Logo certificate.*

*Equipment that has not been put through the IPv6 Ready testing procedures must comply with the RFCs listed below:*

*[appropriate list of selected mandatory and optional RFCs from below lists]*

**Important note for tender initiator:**

IPv6 Ready Logo certification covers basic IPv6 requirements and some advanced features, but not all of them. If you need any advanced feature that is not covered by IPv6 ready Logo certification, please demand a list of RFCs that covers those specific needs in addition to IPv6 Logo Certification. In the lists below RFCs that are covered in the IPv6 Ready Logo certification are marked with \*.

## **Lists of mandatory and optional RFC/3GPP standards support for various hardware and software**

Some parts of this section is loosely based on the NIST/USGv6 profile developed by the US government:<sup>1</sup>

<http://www.antd.nist.gov/usgv6/>

The authors have modified these documents to make them more universally applicable. This option includes a list of RFC specification standards which must be supported, divided into four categories of devices.

CPE list of requirements is based on [RFC6204].

Requirements are divided in equipment and integrator support.

The following is the text we propose to be included in public tenders for ICT equipment, specifying requirements for IPv6 capability and support:

*All ICT hardware must support both the IPv4 and IPv6 protocols. Similar performance must be provided for both protocols. There should not be more than ...% difference in input, output and/or throughput data-flow performance, transmission and processing of packets between the two protocols.*

*(Notes for tender initiators: It should be assumed that all IPv4 traffic will migrate to IPv6. All requirements placed on IPv4 traffic capabilities like latency, bandwidth and throughput should also be required for IPv6 traffic.)*

*Any software that communicates via the IP protocol must support both protocol versions (IPv4 and IPv6). The difference must not be noticeable to users.*

---

<sup>1</sup>The USGv6 specifications are currently undergoing an updated revision which is expected to be completed by the end of 2011

## Definitions and descriptions of different type of devices

The following definitions will be used for classifying the varying hardware equipment. While some hardware may have overlapping functionality (i.e. a layer-2 switch can act as a layer-3 router or a router may have some firewall capabilities), it is expected that for any overlapping functionality, the requirements for each specific device be combined.

**Host:** A host is a network participant that sends and receives packets but does not forward them on behalf of others.

**Layer-2 Switch:** A layer-2 switch is a device that is primarily used for forwarding packets based on layer-2 attributes. Exchanging layer-2 information with other layer-2 switches is usually part of its function.

**Router or Layer-3 Switch:** A router or layer-3 switch is a device that is primarily used for forwarding packets based on layer 3 attributes. Exchanging routing information with other Routers or Layer-3 switches is usually part of its function.

**Network Security Equipment:** Network security equipment are devices whose primary function is to permit, deny and/or monitor traffic between interfaces in order to detect or prevent potential malicious activity. These interfaces can also include VPNs (SSL or IPsec). Network Security Equipment is often also a Layer-2 switch or a Router / Layer-3 switch.

**Customer Premise Equipment (CPE):** A CPE device is a small office or residential router that is used to connect home users and/or small offices in a myriad of configurations. Although a CPE is usually a Router the requirements are different from an Enterprise/ISP Router / Layer-3 switch.

**Mobile Node:** In the context of this document a mobile node is a device that connects via some 3GPP specification (i.e. 3G, GPRS/UMTS or LTE). For situations where the network logic is entirely being provided by a dedicated device A connected to another device B, the specification will refer to device A and not to device B. If the protocol logic is distributed (eg a computer with an external ethernet interface that performs TCP checksum offloading), the aggregate system is being referred to.

**Load Balancer** is a networking device that distribute workload across multiple computers, servers or other resources, to achieve optimal resource utilization, maximize throughput, minimize response time, and avoid overload.

The following references are of relevance to this BCP document. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All references are subject to revision; users of this BCP document are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the references listed below.

## Lists of required RFC/3GPP standards for different type of hardware

ICT hardware equipment are divided into six groups:

- Host: client or server
- Layer 2 switch
- Router or layer 3 switch
- Network security equipment (firewalls, IDS, IPS, ...)
- CPE
- Mobile Node
- Load Balancers

We have divided the following requirements into two categories, “mandatory” and “optional”. Equipment must meet the mandatory standards requirements list. Support for the optional requirements may earn the tender applicant additional points, if so specified by the tender initiator.

### Hardware

Any hardware that does not comply to all of the mandatory standards is marked as inappropriate.

### Requirements for "host" equipment

Mandatory support:

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] \*
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)]
- Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (ULA) [RFC4193]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- DHCPv6 client [RFC3315] \*
- SLAAC [RFC4862] \*
- Path MTU Discovery [RFC1981] \*
- Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861] \*
- Basic Transition Mechanisms for IPv6 Hosts and Routers [RFC4213]
- IPsec-v2 [RFC2401, RFC2406, RFC2402] \*
- IKE version 2 (IKEv2) [RFC4306, RFC4718] \*

- ISAKMP [RFC2407, RFC2408, RFC2409] \*
- If support for mobile IPv6 is required, the device needs to comply to “MIPv6” [RFC3775, RFC5555] and “Mobile IPv6 Operation With IKEv2 and the Revised IPsec Architecture” [RFC4877]
- DNS protocol extensions for incorporating IPv6 DNS resource records [RFC3596]
- DNS message extension mechanism [RFC2671]
- DNS message size requirements [RFC3226]

Optional support:

- Revised ICMPv6 [RFC5095] \*
- IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC6106]
- Extended ICMP for multi-part messages [RFC4884]
- SEND [RFC3971]
- SLAAC Privacy Extensions [RFC4941]
- Stateless DHCPv6 [RFC3736] \*
- DS (Traffic class) [RFC2474, RFC3140]
- Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972]
- IPsec-v3 [RFC4301, RFC4303, RFC4302] \*
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- Multicast Listener Discovery version 2 [RFC3810] \*
- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery [RFC4821]

## Requirements for consumer grade "layer 2 switch" equipment

Mandatory support:

- MLDv2 snooping [RFC4541]

Optional support (management)

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] \*
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(revise)]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- SLAAC [RFC4862] \*
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]

## Requirements for enterprise/ISP grade "layer-2 switch" equipment

Mandatory support:

- MLDv2 snooping [RFC4541]
- DHCPv6 filtering [RFC3315]

DHCPv6 messages must be blocked between subscribers and the network so no false DHCPv6 servers can distribute addresses

- Router Advertisement (RA) filtering [RFC4862]  
RA filtering must be used in the network to block unauthorized RA messages
- Dynamic "IPv6 Neighbor solicitation/advertisement" inspection [RFC4861]  
There must be an IPv6 Neighbor solicitation/advertisement inspection as in IPv4 "Dynamic ARP inspection". The table with MAC-address and link-local and other assigned IPv6-addresses must be dynamically created by SLAAC or DHCPv6 messages.
- Neighbor Unreachability Detection [NUD, RFC4861] filtering  
There must be a NUD filtering function so false NUD messages cannot be sent.
- Duplicate Address Detection [DAD, RFC4429] snooping and filtering.  
Only authorized addresses must be allowed as source IPv6-addresses in DAD messages from each port.

Note: The IETF Source Address Validation Improvements (SAVI) working group is currently working on RFCs that specify a framework for source address validation. Once these RFCs are published the NUD and DAD filtering references can be changed accordingly.

Optional support (management)

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] \*
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- SLAAC [RFC4862] \*
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- IPv6 Routing Header [RFC2460, Next Header value 43] filtering  
IPv6 Routing Header messages must not be allowed between subscriber ports and **between** subscriber and uplink to prevent routing loops [See also RFC5095, Deprecation of Type 0 Routing Headers in IPv6] \*
- UPnP filtering  
UPnP messages must always be blocked between customer ports. There may be a possibility to filter different Ether types to allow only 0x86dd between subscriber ports. **Most probably, 0x800 and 0x806 should be premitted** for IPv4.

## Requirements for "router or layer-3 switch" equipment

Mandatory support:

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] \*
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)]
- Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (ULA) [RFC4193]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- SLAAC [RFC4862] \*
- MLDv2 snooping [RFC4541]
- Router-Alert option [RFC2711]
- Path MTU Discovery [RFC1981] \*
- Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861] \*
- Classless Inter-domain routing [RFC4632]
- If dynamic interior gateway protocol (IGP) is requested, then RIPng [RFC2080], OSPF-v3 [RFC5340] or IS-IS [RFC5308] must be supported. The contracting authority shall specify the required protocol.
- If OSPF-v3 is requested, the equipment must comply with "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPF-v3" [RFC4552]
- If BGP4 protocol is requested, the equipment must comply with RFC4271, RFC1772, RFC4760, RFC1997, RFC3392 and RFC2545
- Support for QoS [RFC2474, RFC3140]
- Basic Transition Mechanisms for IPv6 Hosts and Routers [RFC4213]
- Using IPsec to Secure IPv6-in-IPv4 tunnels [RFC4891]
- Generic Packet Tunneling and IPv6 [RFC2473]
- If 6PE is requested, the equipment must comply with "Connecting IPv6 Islands over IPv4 MPLS Using IPv6 Provider Edge Routers (6PE)" [RFC4798]
- Multicast Listener Discovery version 2 [RFC3810] \*
- If mobile IPv6 is requested, the equipment must comply with MIPv6 [RFC3775, RFC5555] and "Mobile IPv6 Operation With IKEv2 and the Revised IPsec Architecture" [RFC4877]
- If MPLS functionality (for example, BGP-free core, MPLS TE, MPLS FRR) is requested, the PE-routers and route reflectors MUST support "Connecting IPv6 Islands over IPv4 MPLS Using IPv6 Provider Edge Routers (6PE)" [RFC 4798]
- If layer-3 VPN functionality is requested, the PE-routers and route reflectors MUST support "BGP-MPLS IP Virtual Private Network (VPN) Extension for IPv6 VPN" [RFC 4659]
- If MPLS Traffic Engineering is used in combination with IS-IS routing protocol, the equipment MUST support "M-ISIS: Multi-Topology (MT) Routing in Intermediate System to Intermediate Systems (IS-ISs)" [RFC 5120]

#### Optional support

- Revised ICMPv6 [RFC5095] \*
- IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC6106]
- DHCPv6 client / server [RFC3315] \*

- Extended ICMP for multi-part messages [RFC4884]
- SEND [RFC3971]
- SLAAC Privacy Extensions [RFC4941]
- Stateless DHCPv6 [RFC3736] \*
- DHCPv6 PD [RFC3633] \*
- Route Refresh for BGP Capabilities-4 [RFC2918]
- BGP Extended Communities Attribute [RFC4360]
- (QOS), Assured Forwarding [RFC2597]
- (QOS) Expedited Forwarding [RFC3246]
- Generic Routing Encapsulation [RFC2784]
- Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972]
- ProSafe-v3 (IPSec-v3) [RFC4301, RFC4303, RFC4302] \*
- IPSec-v2 [RFC2401, RFC2406, RFC2402] \*
- IKE version 2 (IKEv2) [RFC4306, RFC4718] \*
- ISAKMP [RFC2407, RFC2408, RFC2409]
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- Mibsam SNMP for IP [RFC4293] Forwarding [RFC4292], IPsec [RFC4807] and DiffServ [RFC3289]
- DNS protocol extensions for incorporating IPv6 DNS resource records [RFC3596]
- DNS message extension mechanism [RFC2671]
- DNS message size Requirements [RFC3226]
- 127-bit IPv6 Prefixes on Inter-Router Links [RFC6164]
- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery [RFC4821]
- When IS-IS routing protocol is requested, the equipment SHOULD support "M-ISIS: Multi-Topology (MT) Routing in Intermediate System to Intermediate Systems (IS-ISs)" [RFC 5120] (highly recommended)

## Requirements for "network security equipment"

Equipment in this section is divided into three subgroups:

- Firewall (FW)
- Intrusion prevention device (IPS)
- Application firewall (APFW)

For every mandatory standard the applicable subgroups are specified in parentheses at the end of the line.

Mandatory support:

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] (FW, IPS, APFW) \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] (FW, IPS, APFW)
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)] (FW, IPS, APFW)

- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] (FW, IPS, APFW) \*
- SLAAC [RFC4862] (FW, IPS) \*
- Inspecting IPv6-in-IPv4 protocol-41 traffic, Basic Transition Mechanisms for IPv6 Hosts and Routers [RFC4213] (FW, IPS)
- Router-Alert option [RFC2711] (FW, IPS)
- Path MTU Discovery [RFC1981] (FW, IPS, APFW) \*
- Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861] (FW, IPS, APFW) \*
- Even if highly discouraged, if the request is for the BGP4 protocol, the equipment must comply with RFC4271, RFC1772, RFC4760 and RFC2545 (FW, IPS, APFW)
- If the request is for a dynamic internal gateway protocol (IGP), then the required RIPng [RFC2080], OSPF-v3 [RFC5340] or IS-IS [RFC5308]. The contracting authority shall specify the required protocol. (FW, IPS, APFW)
- If the requested OSPF-v3, the device must support "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPFv3" [RFC4552] (FW, IPS, APFW)
- Support for QoS [RFC2474, RFC3140] (FW, APFW)
- Basic Transition Mechanisms for IPv6 Hosts and Routers [RFC4213] (FW)
- Using IPsec to Secure IPv6-in-IPv4 Tunnels [RFC4891] (FW)

A Network Security Device is often placed where a layer-2 switch or a router / layer-3 switch would otherwise be placed. Depending on this placement those requirements should be included.

Functionality and features that are supported over IPv4 should be comparable with the functionalities supported over IPv6. For example, if an intrusion prevention system is capable of operating over IPv4 in Layer 2 and Layer 3 mode, then it should also offer this functionality over IPv6. Or if a firewall is running in a cluster capable of synchronizing IPv4 sessions between all members of a cluster, then this must also be possible with IPv6 sessions.

#### Optional support

- Revised ICMPv6 [RFC5095] \*
- IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC6106]
- DHCPv6 client / server [RFC3315] \*
- Extended ICMP for Multipart Messages [RFC4884]
- SEND [RFC3971]
- SLAAC Privacy Extensions [RFC4941]
- Stateless DHCPv6 [RFC3736] \*
- DHCPv6 PD [RFC3633] \*
- BGP Communities Attribute [RFC1997]
- BGP Capabilities Advertisement WITH-4 [RFC3392]
- (QOS), Assured Forwarding [RFC2597]
- (QOS) Expedited Forwarding [RFC3246]

- Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (ULA) [RFC4193]
- Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972]
- IPsec-v3 [RFC4301, RFC4303, RFC4302] \*
- OSPF-v3 [RFC5340]
- Authentication / Confidentiality for OSPF-v3 [RFC4552]
- Generic Packet Tunneling and IPv6 [RFC2473]
- IPsec-v2 [RFC2401, RFC2406, RFC2402] \*
- IKE version 2 (IKEv2) [RFC4306, RFC4718] \*
- ISAKMP [RFC2407, RFC2408, RFC2409]
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- DNS protocol extensions for incorporating IPv6 DNS resource records INTO [RFC3596]
- DNS message extension mechanism [RFC2671]
- DNS message size requirements [RFC3226]
- Using IPsec to Secure IPv6-in-IPv4 Tunnels [RFC4891]
- Multicast Listener Discovery version 2 [RFC3810] \*
- MLDv2 snooping [RFC4541] (when in L2 or passthrough mode) \*
- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery [RFC4821]

## Requirements for CPE equipment

### Mandatory support:

- RFC6204 (Basic Requirements for IPv6 Customer Edge Routers) \*

### Optional support:

- IPsec-v2 [RFC2401, RFC2406, RFC2402] \*
- IKE version 2 (IKEv2) [RFC4306, RFC4718] \*
- ISAKMP [RFC2407, RFC2408, RFC2409]
- If support for mobile IPv6 is required, the device needs to comply to “MIPv6” [RFC3775, RFC5555] and “Mobile IPv6 Operation With IKEv2 and the Revised IPsec Architecture” [RFC4877]
- Revised ICMPv6 [RFC5095] \*
- Extended ICMP for multi-part messages [RFC4884]
- SEND [RFC3971]
- SLAAC Privacy Extensions [RFC4941]
- DS (Traffic class) [RFC2474, RFC3140]
- Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972]
- IPsec-v3 [RFC4301, RFC4303, RFC4302] \*
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- Multicast Listener Discovery version 2 [RFC3810] \*

- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery [RFC4821]
- IPv6 Rapid Deployment on IPv4 Infrastructures (6rd) [RFC5969]
- Dual-Stack Lite Broadband Deployments Following IPv4 Exhaustion [draft.ietf-softwire-dual-stack-lite] If support this then also must support Dynamic Host Configuration protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6) Option for Dual-Stack Lite [I-D.ietf-softwire-ds-lite-tunnel-option]
- The A+P Approach to the IPv4 Address Shortage [I-D.ymbk-aplusp]

## Requirements for Mobile Nodes

### Mandatory support:

- IPv6 Base Specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Node Requirements [RFC4294] (errata for RFC2460)
- Neighbor Discovery for IPv6 [RFC4861] (obsoletes RFC2461) \*
- IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration [RFC4862] (obsoletes RFC2462) \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture [RFC3513]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- IPv6 over PPP [RFC2472]
- Multicast Listener Discovery [RFC2710]
- IPv6 Router Alert Option [RFC2711]

### Optional support:

- **Privacy Extensions for Stateless Address Autoconfiguration in IPv6 [RFC4941]**
- Privacy Extensions for Address Configuration in IPv6 [RFC3041]
- Path MTU Discovery for IPv6 [RFC1981] \*
- Generic Packet Tunneling for IPv6 [RFC2473]
- DHCPv6 [RFC3315] \*
- DHCPv6 option for SIP servers [RFC3319]
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)]
- DNS?
- IPsec?

### References:

#### 3GPP

- 3GPP TS 29.061: Internetworking Between Public Land Mobile Network (PLMN) supporting packet based services and Packet Data Networks (PDN)
- 3GPP TS 23.060: GPRS Service Description
- 3GPP TS 24.228: Signalling flows for IP multimedia Call control based on SIP and SDP

- 3GPP TS 24.229: IP multimedia call control protocol based on SIP and SDP
- 3GPP TS 22.941: IP Based Multimedia Framework
- 3GPP TS 23.221: Architectural Requirements
- 3GPP TS 27.060: Packet domain; Mobile Stations (MS) Supporting Packet Switching Service
- 3GPP TR 23.975: IPv6 migration guidelines

#### 3GPP2

- 3GPP2 S.R0037-0
- 3GPP2 P.S0001-B

#### • IETF

- RFC 3316: IPv6 for Some Second and Third Generation Cellular Hosts
  - RFC 3314: Recommendations for IPv6 in 3GPP Standards

## Requirements for Load balancers:

A load balancer distributes incoming request and/or connections from clients to multiple servers. Load balancers will have to support several combinations of IPv4 and IPv6 connections:

- Load balancing IPv6 clients to IPv6 servers (6-to-6) **must** be supported
- Load balancing IPv6 clients to IPv4 servers (6-to-4) **must** be supported
- Load balancing IPv4 clients to IPv4 servers (4-to-4) **should** be supported
- Load balancing IPv4 clients to IPv6 servers (4-to-6) **should** be supported
- Load balancing a single external/virtual IPv4 address to a mixed set of IPv4 and IPv6 servers **should** be supported
- Load balancing a single external/virtual IPv6 address to a mixed set of IPv4 and IPv6 servers **should** be supported

If a load balancer provides layer-7 (application level / reverse proxy, defined as 'surrogate' in section 2.2 of RFC3040) load balancing then **support for the X-forwarded-for (or equivalent) header in HTTP must be provided** in order to make the source IP address of the client visible to the servers.

#### Mandatory support:

- IPv6 Basic specification [RFC2460] \*
- IPv6 Addressing Architecture basic [RFC4291] \*
- Default Address Selection [RFC3484(bis)]
- Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (ULA) [RFC4193]
- ICMPv6 [RFC4443] \*
- Path MTU Discovery [RFC1981] \*
- Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861] \*
- ISAKMP [RFC2407, RFC2408, RFC2409] \*
- DNS protocol extensions for incorporating IPv6 DNS resource records [RFC3596]

- DNS message extension mechanism [RFC2671]
- DNS message size requirements [RFC3226]

#### Optional support:

- Revised ICMPv6 [RFC5095] \*
- IPv6 Router Advertisement Options for DNS Configuration [RFC6106]
- Extended ICMP for multi-part messages [RFC4884]
- SEND [RFC3971]
- DS (Traffic class) [RFC2474, RFC3140]
- Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972]
- IPsec-v2 [RFC2401, RFC2406, RFC2402] \*
- IKE version 2 (IKEv2) [RFC4306, RFC4718] \*
- IPsec-v3 [RFC4301, RFC4303, RFC4302] \*
- SNMP protocol [RFC3411]
- SNMP capabilities [RFC3412, RFC3413, RFC3414]
- Multicast Listener Discovery version 2 [RFC3810] \*
- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery [RFC4821]
- NAT64/DNS64 [RFC6146, RFC6147]

## Requirements for IPv6 support in software

All software must support IPv4 and IPv6 and be able to communicate over **IPv4-only, IPv6-only and dual-stack** networks. If software includes network parameters in its local or remote server settings, it should also support configuration of IPv6 parameters.

**All features that are offered over IPv4 must also be available over IPv6.** The user should not experience any **noticeable** difference when software is communicating over IPv4 or IPv6, **unless this is providing explicit benefit to the user.**

It is not recommended to use any address literals in software code, as described in “Default Address Selection for Internet Protocol version 6” (RFC3484).

## Skill requirements of the systems integrator

Vendors and reseller that offer system integration services must have at least three employees who have valid certificates of competency from the equipment manufacturers for the equipment that is sold as part of the tender. **Additionally** these employees additionally must have general knowledge of the IPv6 protocol, IPv6 network planning and IPv6 security (eg. **as** indicated by certification for these skills also). If it becomes obvious during the equipment installation and integration that the integrator’s knowledge, competence and experience is not sufficient to

successfully install and configure the equipment to establish normal IPv4 and IPv6 communication with the network, the agreement shall be canceled and become null and void.

The definition of proper integration, timing and degree of disruption of the network during the assembly shall be a matter of agreement between the client and systems integrator.

It is also recommended that a systems integrator and its employees have a broad knowledge of IPv6 and generic IPv6 certificates other than those specifically offered by the equipment manufacturers. These certificates can be obtained from independent education providers. Such knowledge may be awarded extra points in the tender process.

All bidders in the tender process must sign the following form, which indicates that the company and its employees have passed technical training for design, construction and integration of ICT equipment in IPv4 and IPv6 networks.

-

### **Declaration of IPv6 competence**

Tender initiators should require technical IPv6 competence declaration from the equipment supplier or integrator. IPv6 knowledge and experience is required to assure proper installation and integration of IPv6 in the ICT environment.

Declaration should say that the equipment supplier or system integrator declares under criminal and material responsibility:

- That they have a sufficient number of people employed to perform offered services;
- That those employees are professionally trained for their work - design, construction and integration of ICT equipment in both IPv4 and IPv6 networks and environments;
- That the quality of offered services meets the requirements laid out in the tender documents, and that these requirements apply to both IPv4 and IPv6.

Note that declarations like this can vary depending on local legislation. Therefore translators and tender initiators should get legal advice on the exact wording for these requirements.

### **Acknowledgments for original RIPE-501 contributions:**

First version of this document was done in the Go6 Expert council and the Slovenian IPv6 working group.

The authors of original RIPE-501 document would like to thank all involved in creation and modification of this document. First of all we would like to thank Janez Sterle, Urban Kunc, Matjaz Straus, Simeon Lisec, Davor Sostaric and Matjaz Lenassi from go6 expert council for their enthusiastic governance of this document. We recognise the work done in the Slovenian IPv6 working group for their review and useful input, special recognition goes to Ivan Pepelnjak, Andrej Kobal and Ragnar Us for their efforts and work done on the document. Thanks also to the Co-chairs of RIPE IPv6 Working Group, David Kessens, Shane Kerr and Marco Hogewoning, for their support and encouragement. We would also like to thank Patrik Fältström, Torbjörn Eklöv, Randy Bush, Matsuzaki Yoshinobu, Ides Vanneuville, Olaf Maennel, Ole Trøan, Teemu Savolainen and people from RIPE IPv6 WG (Joao Damas, S.P.Zeidler, Gert Doering and others) for their input, comments and review of the document. Last, but not least we would like to thank Chris Buckridge from RIPE-NCC for correcting our grammar and wording in this document. And everybody else that contributed to this work.

## **Acknowledgements for new version:**

The authors of this new document would like to thank all involved in modification and proposed additions to the old document that resulted as new, very changed and improved one. Big recognition goes to RIPE IPv6 WG and it's chairs for all support and encouragement to develop a followup version of the document. Special thank goes to Ole Trøan, editor of RFC6204 for his help in CPE section and also suggesting other changes across the document. Thanks to Marco Hogewoning, Ivan Pepelnjak and S.P. Zeidler for great input in ideas how to make document structure and content better, Timothy Winters and Erica Johnson (both IPv6 Ready Logo committee, UNH) for help with marking RFCs they test and constructive suggestions. Big cheers to Yannis Nikolopoulos for some grammar and also content changes proposals.