Karsolink IPv6 Deploy The post IPv4-runout ISP experience

AS204471

WHO we ARE?

- ► The network KARSOLINK is run by 2S Computers, founded in 2014 by two partners.
- ► Three owners & 2 employers
- We operate mostly in Trieste provice in the north-est of Italy on the border with Slovenija.
- RIPE Members as a LIR since 2021
- ► AS204471

OUR WORK from 2018 to 2024

- Small WISP with less than 300 users
 - ► ISP/WISP with 1000 customers
- Very limited budget
 - Bigger budget
- Running on Mikrotik ROS6
 - Mikrotik ROS7
- FTTH Uplink 500Mbps and a /28
 - ► Redundant 10G Uplinks and own ASN & resources
- NATed IP to customers
 - ▶ NATed, public IP, public networks, and IPv6 networks to customers
- Selling just FWA access
 - Selling FWA and FTTC/FTTH Dual-stack backbone with a virtual overlay

WHY IMPLEMENTING IPv6? THE MOTIVATION

- Why not?
- Lack of IPv4 addresses
 - ▶ Should we **invest** and buy IPv4 resources? What will the value of a /24 be in 10 years?
- Offering customers free public IP assignments
- Get rid of NAT
 - Improving user experience
 - Cheaper network operations
 - ► Faster CPE, without NAT sessions table limits
- Get rid of private addresses
- End-to-end connectivity
- Routing only network
- Natural network evolution path

IPv6, FIRST STEPS

- Check if all the equipment supports IPv6
- Check if router software modules are supporting IPv6
 - ▶ IPv6 PD over PPPoE is not supported by PPPoE Server in ROS6
- ▶ IPv6 address plan: build a dual-stack network and add IPv6 to the running IPv4 network
 - Wait for the IPv4 traffic to reduce naturally
- Backbone
 - Initial setup
 - Basic security (Firewall, PtP /126, Spoofing guard,...)
 - Announce the network
- DNS
 - Local forwarders
 - Authoritative servers
- RADIUS
 - User portal
 - DNS filtering / Parental control

MAIN PROBLEMS in MASS DEPLOY

- Most CPEs has IPv6 disabled by default
- ▶ Not all CPEs support PD /56 and /48 -> Change CPE or upgrade the firmware
- Assign static IPv6 delegations to all RADIUS customers (RIPE-690)
- Security -> direct access to CPE management web page
- ▶ IPv6 was disabled on many hosts (They thought that was causing problems...)
- Some customers are concerned about the security of IPv6, mainly because a friend told them so. Many do not see the benefit of implementing it, and it could be a cost.

VENDOR SPECIFIC PROBLEMS

- Some parts of the backbone cannot be jet migrated to IPv6 or dual-stack
 - ► MPLS/LDP/VPLS/BGP-VPLS are not yet ready in ROS7
- ▶ IPv6 PD over PPPoE is not supported by PPPoE Server in ROS6
- ▶ IPv6 accounting on Mikrotik is in beta testing in ROS 7.15
 - Need to modify the RADIUS server and the customer portal
 - ► Helped the static delegations

IPv6 DEPLOY MAIN BENEFITS

- Reduced IPv4 traffic from 20% to 30%
 - Reduced traffic logs.
 - Reduced loads on NAT devices.
- Increased performance: traffic in IPv6 is routed ONLY!
- Greater efficiency and failover
- Easier debugging
- No more ISP NAT & CPE NAT!!
- It could become a business case.

IPv4 vs IPv6 Traffic comparison

- ▶ IPv6 adoption differs from region to region, from 27% to 80%
 - ▶ It mainly depends on when the customer joined the network and the CPE that is using
- ► The current total IPv6 adoption rate is 49%



NEXT IDEAS

- Deploy of test-ipv6.karsolink.com
- Migrate RADIUS authentication to dual-stack
- Migrate internal backbone to IPv6 ONLY
 - Most of the routers will be stateless
 - ▶ IPv4 with IPv6 next hop (Static routes only in ROS7)
- Become an IPv6 beta tester for our VoIP provider
- ► IPv6 DAY
 - Invite the customers with their CPEs
 - ► Change or upgrade and configure IPv6 on the CPEs
 - Speak to the customers and convince them that it could be an investment
 - ► EAT & DRINK!

THANK YOU!

vasja.krizmancic@net5.si

Let us know if we should do it differently. ©