

Developments in Routing Security

Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |



Who We Are

- East and parts of Central Asia
 - Ensure unique holdership
 - Document holdership in the RIPE Database (whois)
 - Enable operators to document use of their address spaces



We manage IP and ASN allocations in Europe, the Middle



Routing Security is in Our DNA

- In 1994, RIPE-181 was the first document published that used a common language to describe routing policies
- We co-developed standards for IRR and RPKI
- We are one of the five RPKI Trust Anchors
- Our Validator tool was, until recently, the only productiongrade tool to do Origin Validation



Routing on the Internet





How to Secure Routing?



Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |





"Internet Routing Registry"

Internet Routing

- Border Gateway Protocol
 BGPv4, 1994
- The problem remains
 - No built-in security in BGP Protocol



Accidents Happen

- Fat Fingers
 - 2 and 3 are really close on our keyboards...
- Policy violations (leaks)
 - Oops, we did not want this to go to the public Internet
 - Infamous incident with Pakistan Telecom and YouTube



Or Worse...

April 2018

- BGP and DNS hijack
- Targeting MyEtherWallet
- Unnoticed for 2 hours





Incidents Are Common

• 2018 Routing Security Review

- 12.6k incidents
- 4.4% of all ASNs affected
- 3k ASNs victims of at least one incident
- 1.3k ASNs caused at least one incident

source: https://www.bgpstream.com/



ent ent

9

Internet Routing Registry

- Many exist, most widely used
 - RIPE Database
 - RADB
- Verification of holdership over resources
 - RIPE Database for RIPE region resources only
 - RADB allows paying customers to create any object -
 - Lots of the other IRRs do not formally verify holdership





Accuracy - RIPE IRR



Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |



Accuracy - Valid announcements / covered announcements

Accuracy - RADB IRR



Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |



Accuracy - Valid announcements / covered announcements

Resource Public Key Infrastructure

RPKI

- Ties IP addresses and ASNs to public keys
- Follows the hierarchy of the registry
- Authorised statements from resource holders
 - ASN X is authorised to announce my IP Prefix Y
 - Signed, holder of Y





Resource Public Key Infrastructure

- Operated since 2008 by all RIRs
 - Community-driven standardisation (IETF)
 - IRR was not sufficient (incomplete, incorrect)
- Adds crypto-security to Internet Number Resources



Operators Are In Control

- We show member announcements
 - Member chooses to authorise or not
 - Does not need to worry about the crypto
 - It is there, but let the machines handle it...
- APNIC and LACNIC also have easy-to-use portals
 - Uptake and quality of data is a function of the interface





RPKI Chain of Trust





ROA

signature

Route Origin Validation



RIPE NCC



ARIN







Route Origin Validation



BETTER ROUTING DECISIONS

Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |



BGP Announcements

AS111	10.0.7.30/22
AS222	10.0.6.10/24
AS333	10.4.17.5/20
AS111	10.0.7.30/22
AS111	10.0.7.30/22
AS111	10.0.7.30/22

Number of Certificates







RIPE NCC: 7100

APNIC: 1797

LACNIC: 1146

ARIN: 538

AFRINIC: 123

Coverage - RPKI (all RIRs)



Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |





Accuracy - RPKI (all RIRs)



Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 |





IPv4 addresses in valid announcements / covered announcements

RPKI in some regional countries

Country	% Addreses	Accuracy
BY	61,4%	100,0%
GE	27,2%	100,0%
LV	20,8%	99,7%
LT	19,7%	100,0%
EE	17,1%	100,0%
UZ	12,9%	100,0%
RU	7,6%	99,8%
UA	7,4%	99,6%
KZ	2,35%	100,0%

source: https://lirportal.ripe.net/certification/content/static/statistics/world-roas.html

Oleg Muravskiy 3-4 June 2019 ENOG16



Recommendations to Get Started

- Create your ROAs in the LIR Portal
- Pay attention to the Max Length attribute
- Download and run a Validator
- Check validation status manually, which routes are invalid? Set up monitoring, for example pmacct (link)



Invalid == Reject

What breaks if you reject invalid BGP announcements?

- "Not all vendors have full RPKI support, or bugs have been reported"
- "Mostly nothing" AT&T
- "5 customer calls in 6 months, all resolved quickly" Dutch medium ISP
- "Customers appreciate a provider who takes security seriously" -Dutch medium ISP
- "There are many invalids, but very little traffic is impacted" -very large cloud provider



Making the Difference

- Is routing security on your agenda?
- Initiate the conversation with providers and colleagues
- Are you leading by example?



agenda? h providers and colleagues

Questions

nathalie@ripe.net

Nathalie Trenaman | April 2019 | SEE



