

RIPE Policy Development

2017-02 Abuse-C Validation

Richard Leaning | 22 January 2018 | Roundtable Meeting



The goal of this proposal is to give the RIPE NCC a mandate to regularly validate "abuse-c:" information and to follow up in cases where the attribute is deemed to be incorrect.

https://www.ripe.net/participate/policies/ current-proposals/current-policy-proposals

Réseaux IP Européens (RIPE)



- Since 1989 discussion forum open to all parties interested
- Not a legal entity and no formal membership
- Develops policies
- Work done in Working Groups
- Activities are performed on a voluntary basis
- Decisions formed by consensus
- RIPE Meetings twice a year



Who Does What?

- The RIPE community
 - Creates & discusses proposals
 - Seeks consensus
- Working Group (WG) Chairs
 - Accepts policy proposals
 - Chairs the discussions
 - Decides if consensus has been reached
- The RIPE NCC
 - Acts as the secretariat to support the process
 - Publishes policy documents and implements policies

When to Start a Policy Proposal?



 When something is missing, outdated or can be improved in the policies

- When not to do it?
 - Disagreement with RIPE NCCs request evaluation
 First: Revision/Escalation
 - Changes to the RIPE NCC membership (charging, rules) Solution: RIPE NCC General Meeting

Policy Development Process



- Open
 - Anyone can participate
 - On mailing lists and at meetings
- Transparent
 - List discussions archived publicly
 - Meetings transcribed
- Developed bottom-up
 - YOU make the policies
 - The RIPE NCC implements them



Participating in the PDP



- Sign up for the Policy Development Process
 Announcements mailing list
 - Join in discussions about policy proposals
 - Stay up-to-date with new policies
 - Propose a new policy

https://www.ripe.net/participate/ policies/participation-ripe-pdp



Participation in 2017

Working Groups



- Address Policy
- Routing
- Database
- Anti-abuse
- Cooperation

- RIPE NCC Services
- Connect
- Open Source
- Measurement, Analysis and Tools
- IoT (NEW!)

- DNS
- IPv6

Join the Discussion on the RIPE Forum https://www.ripe.net/ participate/mail/forum/

RIR Bottom-up Model





How a Working Group Chair makes a decision on consensus

- Consensus decisions are based on considering and addressing all concerns raised by a proposal
- One email is seen as one statement regardless how many people might be represented by this email
- Not 'weighted', an e-mail representing a group or organisations, has no more sway then one individual posting an email



Where are we now with the proposal?

- We are now with version 2.0 of the proposal
- v1.0 was discussed in the initial Discussion Phase.
- While the idea received sufficient support, some concerns where raised about the wording. Hence the proposers re-wrote the proposal, now it's in the second phase to be discussed again (Review Phase)







Impact Analysis



- RIPE NCC must create an impact analysis before a proposal can be published in this phase.
 - IA on this policy proposal was published 18 January
- How we understand the policy change, do we see issues, how we will implement it if it reaches consensus
 - https://www.ripe.net/participate/policies/proposals/2017-02

Review Phase



- Review Phase is crucial, as here the decision on rough consensus will be taken by the WG chairs
- This phase will take at least four weeks, can be extended if needed
- Decisions in this phase is by the WG Chairs only

Checking Abuse-C



- Exact details will be decided when(/if) the proposal reaches consensus
- Currently we plan to build in an external solution for the non-intrusive testing, and follow up based on the results
- Emails that passed the test will be checked again in one year
- Emails that fail will be followed up: RIPE NCC contacts the (sponsoring) LIR.
 - First automated, then manual



Questions



<u>rleaning@ripe.net</u> +44(0) 7814744079