





# RIPE NCC

RIPE NETWORK COORDINATION CENTRE

# Ethics in Technology

*RIPE Atlas as an example*

Vesna Manojlovic, Community Builder  
[BECHA@ripe.net](mailto:BECHA@ripe.net)

Amsterdam | September 2018 | RIoT Summit

# Overview



- Technical is political
- The ethics of Internet measurements
- Introduction to RIPE NCC
- RIPE Atlas design decisions
- Example of web measurements
- Conclusion: Question everything!
  - *Longer version at SHA2017: "Ethics in Technology"*
    - lecture & video

# Main Inspirations



- [r] Phillip Rogaway: “The Moral Character of Cryptographic Work” (2015)
- [p] Allison Parrish: “Programming is Forgetting: Toward a New Hacker Ethic” (2016)
- [ensr] “Philosophy meets Internet Engineering: Ethics in Networked Systems Research” (2015)
- [art] Langdon Winner: “Do Artefacts Have Politics?” (1980)
- [u] Ursula K. Le Guin: “A Non-Euclidean View of California as a Cold Place to Be” (1989)
- [rfc8280] “Human Rights Protocol Considerations” (2017)



# **Ethics in Science and Technology**




# Ethics

[edit](#)

**Ethics** (via [Latin](#) *ethica* from the [Ancient Greek](#) ἠθική [φιλοσοφία] "moral philosophy", from the [adjective](#) of ἦθος *ēthos* "custom, habit"), a major branch of philosophy, is the study of [values](#) and [customs](#) of a person or group. It in simplest terms is the philosophy on how to act. It covers the [analysis](#) and employment of [concepts](#) such as [right](#) and [wrong](#), [good and evil](#), and [responsibility](#). It is divided into three primary areas: *meta-ethics* (the study of the concept of ethics), *normative ethics* (the study of how to determine ethical values), and *applied ethics* (the study of the use of ethical values).



Interdisciplinary  
(for example,  
Environmental)



Medicine

(other applied  
sciences)

Engineering

Computer  
Science


# Technical is Political



- “Technological ideas and technological things are **not** politically **neutral**: routinely, they have strong, built-in tendencies. Technological advances are usefully considered not only from the lens of how they work, but also **why** they came to be as they did, whom they help, and whom they **harm**.” [r]
- “The machines, structures, and systems of modern material culture are (should be) judged for their:
  - contributions of efficiency and productivity,
  - positive and negative environmental side effects,
  - the ways in which they can embody specific forms of power and authority.” [art]



# Classical Sciences Ethical Dilemmas



## The othe of Hippocrates

which he gaue vnto his disciples and scollers, which professing Phisicke and Chirurgie, is very worthie to be obserued and kept faithfullie, of euerie true and honest Arteists, althought he himselfe were but a heathen man, and without the true knowledge of the liuing God, yet for his noble and excellent skill in Phisicke and Chirurgie, he ought not to be forgotten of vs his posteritie, but to be had in an honorable remembrance for euer.




## *Ethics*

in the Environmental Movement





# Computer Sciences Ethical Dilemmas





# **Internet Measurements Ethics**



# Theory of Applied Ethics



- Consequentialism (“the ends justify the means”)
  - Utilitarianism
- Deontology (“duty for duty’s sake”)
- A mix: “Virtue Ethics”
  - The right actions are those chosen by an actor of virtuous character
- Principlism
  - Respect for autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, justice
  - *But what if these are conflicting? What guides the action?*
- Casuistry: practical, case reasoning

# Measurements Ethics [ensr]



- “Ethics in Networked Systems Research”
- Internet as socio-technical system
- Responsibilities resulting from power imbalances:
  - Meaningful, informed consent
  - Weighing risks, benefits and values for an ethical analysis
  - Status of easily accessible data
  - Not condoning potentially unethical research methods





- **Context:** How would you describe the context within which data is collected, information flows are created (or affected), or phenomena are measured?
- **Aims:** What are the aim and benefits of the project?
- **Benefits:** Why are the benefits good for stakeholders?
- **Purpose limitation:** Can the scope of data collection be limited whilst still achieving the project aim?
- **Politics and Power:** Are particular stakeholders empowered or disempowered as a result of this project?
- **Risk of Harm:** Could the collection of the data in this study be reasonably expected to cause tangible harm to any person's well-being?
- **Law:** Which bodies of law are likely to be applicable to the operation of the project?
- **Values:** Which values will the project conceivable impact?
- **Burdens:** Who carries the burden of harms or impacted values, and how?
- **Technology Ethics:** Can the harms and impacted values be traced to parts of the technological design of the project?
- **Function Creep:** Does the project potentially set a precedent for unethical methodologies that could be misused by others in the future?
- **Data Governance:** Using current techniques, can the data used in this study reveal private or confidential information about individuals?
  - If so, discuss measures taken to keep the data protected from inappropriate disclosure or misuse.
- **Data Retention:** When will the collected data be deleted?
- **Tech Alternatives:** Have you considered measures to mitigate the identified risk of harm or impacted



# Measurements Platforms Comparison



Platform	Flexibility	Coverage	Blocking resistance	Main use
PlanetLab [16]	High	Low/Medium	Medium	Network measurements
Atlas [18]	Low	Medium/High	Medium	Network measurements
M-Lab [6]	Low	High	Medium	Network measurements
Tor [5]	Medium	Medium	Low	Low-latency anonymity
OONI [10]	High	Low	Medium	Interference analysis
Herdict [11]	Low	Low/Medium	Low	Interference analysis
OpenNet [14]	Low	Medium	High	Interference analysis

Table 1: Comparison between several popular filtering analysis platforms.

- “Global Network Interference Detection over the RIPE Atlas Network” (FOCI14)



Category & Characteristics	Requirements	Example[s]
<b>ECONOMIC</b>		
Protecting business access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attribution</li> <li>• Contextual</li> </ul>	Online services combating censorship/disruption targeting their services or companies
Ensuring large-scale access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attribution</li> <li>• Contextual</li> <li>• Compelling</li> <li>• Comparative</li> </ul>	Department of State, international telecommunications bodies/groups [e.g., ITU, ICANN], <a href="#">RIPE Atlas</a> , <a href="#">M-Lab</a>



# **RIPE NCC, RIPE Atlas, Ethics Implemented**



# Regional Internet Registries



**ARIN**  
American Registry for Internet Numbers

 **RIPE NCC**  
RIPE NETWORK COORDINATION CENTRE

 **APNIC**


**lacnic** 

**AFRINIC**   
The Internet Numbers Registry for Africa






# IPv6 Addresses Distribution



# RIPE Atlas



From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

**RIPE Atlas**  is a global, open, distributed Internet measurement platform, consisting of thousands of measurement devices that measure Internet connectivity in real time.



<https://atlas.ripe.net>

# Most Popular RIPE Atlas Features



- Six types of measurements: ping, traceroute, DNS, SSL/TLS, NTP and HTTP (to anchors)
- APIs to start measurements and get results
- Powerful and informative visualisations: “Time Travel”, LatencyMON, DomainMON, TraceMon
- CLI tools
- Streaming data for real-time results
- Roadmap shows what’s completed and coming

# Ethics Design Decisions



- Active measurements only
  - probes do not observe user traffic
- Low barrier to entry
  - gratis probes, funded by LIRs and sponsors
- Hosted by volunteers
  - informed consent (accepting T&C)
  - personal data never revealed
- Data, API, source code, tools: **free and open**
- Measurements sets limited





RIPE ATLAS



# Ongoing Moral Dilemmas



- 2013: Opening-up source code
- 2014: Keeping “non-public” measurements available
- 2015: Not allowing HTTP measurements to random targets
- 2016: Security audit
- 2017: RIPE Atlas Probes at “IoT Devices”

# ENSR Checklist



- Responsibilities resulting from power imbalances
- Meaningful, informed consent
- Weighing risks, benefits and values for an ethical analysis
- Not condoning potentially unethical research methods

- Low barrier to entry
- Data, API, source code, tools: **free and open**
- Probes hosted by volunteers (accepting T&C)
- Existence of “non-public” measurements
- No HTTP measurements
- No passive measurements

# Strong Community Involvement



- Join the RIPE Atlas community!
  - Host a RIPE Atlas probe!
  - Use our (open) measurements data !
  - Use, modify & improve our (FLOSS) software!
  - Come to our hackathons!
- <https://atlas.ripe.net>
- [atlas@ripe.net](mailto:atlas@ripe.net)
- @RIPE\_Atlas

# Question Everything!



“Technological advances are usefully considered  
not only from the lens of  
**how** they work,  
but also  
**why** they came to be as they did,  
**whom** they *help*, and  
whom they ***harm***.” [r]









# **Additional Slides**

# RIPE Atlas References



- <https://atlas.ripe.net>
- <https://labs.ripe.net/atlas>
- [“Global Network Interference Detection over the RIPE Atlas Network” \(2014\)](#)
- [“Ethics of RIPE Atlas Measurements” \(2016\)](#)
- [“Ethics in Network Measurements” \(2017\)](#)
- [“A Field Survey of the Ecosystem Around Internet Censorship, Disruptions, and Shutdowns” \(June 2017\)](#)

# More Concrete Examples



- Articles on [labs.ripe.net](https://labs.ripe.net) :
- Meddling with the Internet in Turkey: March 2014, Emile Aben
- DNS related censorship in Iran: July 2016, Babak Farrokhi
- Orange Blacklisting: Oct 2016, Stéphane Bortzmeyer
- Detecting Network Outages, Aug 2017, Anant Shah
- Measurements as the Key to Transparency: Jan 2018, Alexander Azimov





# **Web Measurements: The Ethical Way**

# Measuring Reachability of Web Servers

- Users have been asking for HTTP measurements
- Due to ethical reasons, in 2015 we've decided:
  - not possible to target arbitrary websites with probes
  - “standard” HTTP measurements are ONLY possible towards RIPE Atlas anchors
- September 2017: a proven workaround
  - <https://labs.ripe.net/Members/wilhelm/measuring-your-web-server-reachability-with-tcp-ping>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=liaql4xk-GI>

# Workaround: Using a “TCP Ping”



- traceroute (TCP) to the targeted **web server**
  - towards IP address : port 80
  - 3 packets; a packet size of **zero**
  - “maximum hops” = 64; initial time-to-live (TTL) = 64
  - long enough for the first traceroute attempt to immediately reach the destination address
- Mimics the behaviour of the TCP handshake
  - that takes place when setting up an HTTP connection
- This measures the same network **delays!**
  - RTT turns out to be equivalent to HTTP connect times



## Step 1 Definitions

# How to: Web UI

- Go to “Measurements”
- Click on “New msm”
- “Advanced options”
- Add up to 1000 probes
- Choose “one off”
  - or continuous / repeated
- Done!
  - you need to have “credits”

Traceroute measurement to dk-blp-as39839.anchors.atlas.ripe.net

Target\*: dk-blp-as39839.anchors.atlas.ripe.net  
An IP address or hostname

Description: Traceroute measurement to dk-blp-as39839.

Address Family\*: IPv4

Protocol\*: TCP

Interval: 600  
How often this should be done (seconds between samples). Note that this value is ignored for one-off measurements.

Timeout (ms): 4000

Resolve on Probe: ☐  
Force the probe to do DNS resolution

Advanced Options

Packets: 3

Size: 0  
Size of the packet

First Hop: 64  
Start measuring the traceroute at this hop.

Maximum Hops: 64  
Stop measuring the traceroute at this hop.

Spread:   
Spread of uniformly distributed random probe start time phase

Port: 80

Paris: 16  
Number of different variations for paris traceroute. Set 0 for standard traceroute.

Destination Extension Header Size: 0  
The size of the destination extension header to include in the IPv6 packet.

Hop-by-Hop Extension Header Size: 0  
The size of the hop-by-hop extension header to include in the IPv6 packet.

Don't Fragment: ☐

Skip DNS check: ☐  
Disables target DNS check on measurement creation

# How to: Command Line (CLI)



```
# ripe-atlas measure traceroute --target  
82.94.235.165 --protocol TCP --size 0  
--first-hop 64 --max-hops 64 --port 80
```

- CLI tools:

- Source: <https://github.com/RIPE-NCC/ripe-atlas-tools/>
- Documentation: <https://ripe-atlas-tools.readthedocs.org/>
- Included in many Linux / BSD distributions

# Results



- Reachability Map
  - colour-coded for latency
- List of probes and latencies



⚡<sup>4</sup> 3rd TCP Ping measurement to 82.94.235.165 (unciv.nl)

General Information		Probes	Map	TraceMON (beta)	OpenIPMap Prototype	Results	Mod	
Probe	↕ ASN (IPv4)	↕ ASN (IPv6)	↕	↕	↕ Time (UTC)	↕ RTT	▲	↕ Hop
10150	6830	6830	🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	23.829	🟢	1
10782	3265	3265	🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	18.605	🟢	1
24605	3265	3265	🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	18.090	🟢	1
13538	6830		🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	17.560	🟢	1
31178	6830		🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	16.069	🟢	1
16274	28685		🇳🇱	📶	2017-10-03 11:51	14.752	🟢	1

- Download as JSON
  - <https://atlas.ripe.net/api/v2/measurements/9412863/results/?start=1506988800&stop=1507075199&format=json>




# Detailed Technical Information




- Rene Wilhelm on RIPE Labs
- For 68% of the probe/destination pairs, median values differ by less than 1ms
- Interdecile ranges differ by less than 6ms
- When compared to RTT of 100 milliseconds, a difference in spread of 5-15ms may still be acceptable to assess network performance

HTTP Time to Connect to 210.4.72.46 from probe 25717



TCP RTTs to 210.4.72.46 from probe 25717





# **Internet Ethics: FLOSS, Hackers, Cryptographers**





# “Free Software” Values



- Individual freedoms
  - to use the software as you wish;
  - to study the program and how it works (perusing its source code);
- At a collective level:
  - the freedom to distribute exact copies of the program, so you can help your neighbour; and
  - the freedom to modify the source code and distribute these modified versions under the same conditions.
- <https://gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw>
- Open Source vs Free / Libre Software?

Everybody needs a hacker





## Levy's Hacker Ethic

- Access to computers should be unlimited and total.
- All information should be free.
- Mistrust authority—promote decentralization.
- Hackers should be judged by their hacking, not bogus criteria such as degrees, age, race or position, **gender**
- You can create art and beauty on a computer.
- Computers can change your life for the better.

# Tips for Academic Cryptographers



- Attend to problems' social value. Do anti-surveillance research.
- Be introspective about why you are working on the problems you are.
- Think twice, and then again, about accepting military funding.
- Regard ordinary people as those whose needs you ultimately aim to satisfy.
- Use the academic freedom that you have.
- Be open to diverse models. Regard all models as suspect and dialectical.
- Get a systems-level view. Attend to that which surrounds our field.
- Design and build a broadly useful cryptographic commons.
- Take adversaries seriously.





8. The Critical Engineer looks to the history of art, architecture, activism, philosophy and invention and finds exemplary works of Critical Engineering. Strategies, ideas and agendas from these disciplines will be adopted, re-purposed and deployed.

9. The Critical Engineer notes that written code expands into social and psychological realms, regulating behaviour between people and the machines they interact with. By understanding this, the Critical Engineer seeks to reconstruct user-constraints and social action through means of digital excavation.

10. The Critical Engineer considers the exploit to be the most desirable form of exposure.



# **Beyond Hacker Ethics**

## **Question Everything!**

# <http://linnytu.com/hacker>



linnytu.com/hacker

this is what a hacker looks  
like.

or is it?

the image of the white,  
male hacker in a hoodie is  
harmful and exclusive to  
people who don't fit that  
mold.

what if you could change  
that image?

lights


clothing

skin

hair

decor

drink



# Hacker ~~Ethic~~ Questions

- ~~Access to computers should be unlimited and total.~~ Who gets to use what I make? Who am I leaving out? How does what I make facilitate or hinder access?
- ~~All information should be free.~~ What data am I using? Whose labor produced it and what biases and assumptions are built into it? Why choose this particular phenomenon for digitization/transcription? What do the data leave out?
- ~~Mistrust authority—promote decentralization.~~ What systems of authority am I enacting through what I make? What systems of support do I rely on? How does what I make support other people?
- ~~Hackers should be judged by their hacking, not bogus criteria such as degrees, age, race or position.~~ What kind of community am I assuming? What community do I invite through what I make? How are my own personal values reflected in what I make?

**Allison Parrish: “Programming is Forgetting: Toward a New Hacker Ethic” (2016)**





With Great  
Power  
Comes  
Great  
Responsibility

# With great power...



At a time when science plays such a powerful role in the life of society, when the destiny of the whole of mankind may hinge on the results of scientific research, it is incumbent on all scientists to be fully conscious of that role, and conduct themselves accordingly. I appeal to my fellow scientists to remember their responsibility to humanity.<sup>210</sup>

- ... great responsibility
- to humanity...
- to the planet...
- and to squirrels!





# **Possible Alternatives**

## **Additions to Hacker Ethics**





1. A feminist internet starts with and works towards empowering more women and queer persons – in all our diversities – to dismantle patriarchy. This includes universal, affordable, unfettered, unconditional, and equal **access** to the Internet.

2. A feminist internet is an extension, reflection, and continuum of our movements and **resistance** in other spaces, public and private. Our agency lies in us deciding as individuals and collectives what aspects of our lives to politicize and/or publicize on the internet.

3. The internet is a **transformative** public and political space. It facilitates new forms of citizenship that enable individuals to claim, construct, and express our selves, genders, sexualities. This includes connections across territories, demands for accountability and transparency, and significant opportunities for feminist movement-building.


4. **Violence** online and tech-related violence are part of the continuum of gender-based violence. The misogynistic attacks, threats, intimidation, and policing experienced by women and LGBTQI people are real, harmful, and alarming. It is our collective responsibility as various internet stakeholders to prevent, respond to, and resist this violence.

9. The internet's role in enabling access to critical **information** - including conversations on health, pleasure, and risks - is essential, and must be supported and protected.

10. Surveillance by default is the tool of patriarchy to control and restrict rights both online and offline. The right to **privacy** is a critical principle for a safer, open internet for all. Equal attention needs to be paid to surveillance practices by individuals against each other, as well as the private sector and non-state actors, in addition to the state.

11. We have the right to access all our personal **data** online and to be able to exercise control, which includes knowing who has access to the data and under what conditions and being able to delete it forever. However, this is in tension with the right to a transparent, and accountable, and

12. We strongly object to the use of non-state actors to control the sexual lives of consensual adults. This is expressed and practiced as part of the larger system of policing, censorship, and rights.





# (Tech) Ethics of Nonviolence





- Nonviolent resistance philosophy of Gandhi & Martin Luther King Jr.
- Algorithmically-geeky “Non-violent Communication” by Marshal Rosenberg
- “Guide to Empathetic Technical Leadership”  
<http://empathetictechnicalleader.com>
  - FREE to read online: <https://leanpub.com/littleguide/read>
- Open Source and Feelings (#OSSfeel)
  - <http://www.osfeels.com/>

# The Internet of Empathy



- Positive freedom of connectivity, interaction and involvement
  - Instead of libertarian “freedom” as independence and self reliance
- This freedom comes at the price of greater responsibility
- *“ the intrinsic value of a network does not lie in the sovereignty and independence of its nodes, but in their connectedness,”*
- Empathy is willingness to engage with the Other, and willingness to enrich network with our contributions
- From: “To Our Friends” by The Invisible Committee  
<https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/our-friends> “







# Beyond Techno-Optimism






A NATURAL PAIRING A data center in Ashburn, Va., seen past a Dominion Virginia Power nuclear reactor. Worldwide, such centers use the rough equivalent of the output of 30 nuclear reactors. Brendan Smialowski for The New York Times











📷 A child at the Agbogbloshie electronic waste dump in Ghana. Photograph: Andrew McConnell/Alamy









# Squirrels Winning the Cyber-War ;-)



TOTAL SUCCESSFUL CYBER WAR OPS  
AS OF 2017.01.08 - 1748

Agent	Success
Squirrel	879
Bird	434
Snake	83
Raccoon	72
Rat	36
Marten	22
China	0
Russia	0*
USA	1



- [https://wiki.techinc.nl/index.php/Hackers\\_tribes#Squirrels\\_against\\_technology](https://wiki.techinc.nl/index.php/Hackers_tribes#Squirrels_against_technology)



# **Acknowledgements & References**

# More references



- [w] <http://networkedsystemsethics.net/>
- Philosophy of Hacking, by Groente
- Digital Tailspin: Ten Rules for the Internet After Snowden
- Tor, Technocracy, Democracy
- Heather Marsh
- [how] Software Freedom your Way
- Sebastian Olme
- <http://guymcpherson.com/2013/12/hackers-ethic-for-the-world-after-collapse/>
- <http://becha.home.xs4all.nl/hackers-philosophers-utopian-network-dec-2012-becha.pdf>

# Even more references, July 2017



- IETF & Human Rights & <https://www.rightscon.org/>
  - <https://www.ietf.org/proceedings/99/slides/slides-99-hrpc-presentation-milton-mueller-requiem-for-a-dream-00.pdf>
  - <https://www.article19.org/resources.php/resource/38819/en/ethical-approaches-to-artificial-intelligence-and-autonomous-systems-at-ieee-seas-2017>
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-nottingham-for-the-users-05>
- “To Our Friends”, by The Invisible Committee
  - <https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/our-friends>
- “I Hate the Internet” <http://weheardyoulikebooks.com/releases/i-hate-the-internet/>
- Geoff Huston: "The Internet's Gilded Age" (March 2017) <http://www.potaroo.net/ispcol/2017-03/gilding.html>



# Main Inspirations



- [r] Phillip Rogaway: “The Moral Character of Cryptographic Work” (2015)
- [ensr] “Philosophy meets Internet Engineering: Ethics in Networked Systems Research”
- [art] Langdon Winner: “Do Artefacts Have Politics?” (1980)
- [p] Allison Parrish: “Programming is Forgetting: Toward a New Hacker Ethic” (2016)
- [u] Ursula K. Le Guin: “A Non-Euclidean View of California as a Cold Place to Be” (1989)