RIPE RPKI Open House

Routing Security, whats next?

Massimiliano Stucchi & Melchior Aelmans 20 January, 2021

Agenda

- RPKI Origin Validation
 - Current state of affairs
 - What RPKI ROV doesn't help with
 - BGPsec
- What's next on the plate?
 - AS-Cones
 - ASPA
 - RTA
- Call to action
 - Implement BCPs and ROV
 - MANRS

RPKI OV - Current State of affairs

RPKI OV - Current State of affairs

Adoption increasing. Large network operators are deploying;

• Google:

https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/networking/how-google-is-working-to-i mprove-internet-routing-security

• Amazon:

https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/networking-and-content-delivery/how-aws-is-h elping-to-secure-internet-routing/

• Microsoft:

https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/blog/microsoft-introduces-steps-to-improveinternet-routing-security/

RPKI OV - Current State of affairs

Number of incidents slowly decreasing. Number of valid ROAs increasing.







Source: https://observatory.manrs.org/

What RPKI ROV doesn't help with

What RPKI ROV doesn't help with

• Path validation is still a problem **not** solved by RPKI OV.

• Work is ongoing in IETF

BGPSec

• RPKI does not protect against path redirection attacks

- We need a way to verify the AS-Path of a given BGP Announcement
 - And understand if anyone tampered with the data on the way to our routers

BGPSec Path Validation

- With BGPSec, the AS-Path attribute is cryptographically signed
 - Using the operator's certificate from RPKI

• In order to validate an AS-Path, routers verify the chain of trust of all the signatures of the AS-Path



.468.0.0/46

AS Path: A EGPSEC: (key1, signsture1)

However BGPsec isn't deployed?

• That is mainly due to the amount of computational power needed on the routers' control plane

• Potentially (rough estimate) you could validate around 4k paths (depends on the length) so how to handle 'the rest'?

• BGPsec isn't the solution as it doesn't scale.

What's new and upcoming

AS-Cones, ASPA, RTA

AS-Cones

• IETF Draft

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ss-grow-rpki-as-cones/

Goals

- Create more feature parity between IRR and RPKI
- Make provisioning operations easier
- Go global, independent from IRR
- $\circ~$ In second instance, try to provide lightweight AS-Path verification

Features of AS-Cones

• Granularity of declarations

• Default namespace

• Simple validation process

• Stub networks don't need to do anything

Two objects

A policy definition; and

The AS-Cone

Policy Object

- Must contain a "Default" policy
 - Which, by default, contains only the ASN



• Every relationship can point to an AS-Cone or

AS-Cone Object

AS58280:Customers AS-Cone

AS65001 (Validation: 1) Customer1 (Validation: 0) Customer2 (Validation: 1)

- Contains a list of ASNs or AS-Cones from customer networks
- AS Cones referenced as ASXXXX:Cone_name
 - Name must be unique only per ASN
- The inclusion of an entry can be validated by the holder of the resource (ASN or AS-Cone)

Finding Policies and AS-Cones

- Policies and AS-Cones should be distributed by your favourite Validator
- To generate prefix filters, access the validated cache via an API



Generating Prefix Filters with AS-Cones

- As an upstream, read the policy definition for your customer network.
 - Check if it contains a specific policy declaration, otherwise Default
- Take the AS-Cone referenced
- Walk the AS-Cone, create a list of all the ASN included
 - If you find circular AS-Cones declaration, discard them
- Verify the status of the "validated" field
- For every ASN, pick all the ROAs where it's listed as originator

Security model

- Adding an AS-Cone to another AS-Cone requires acknowledgement
 - Avoids anyone adding, for example, large networks in their customer cone

• Adding an ASN to an AS-Cone has an **optional** acknowledgement

• The acknowledgement is registered in the AS-Cone as a boolean value in the "Validated" field for each entry

Building prefix filters







Only consider the AS-Cone if **every** entry has been validated

Strict

References

• Material on Github

O <u>https://github.com/bgp/draft-ss-grow-rpki-as-cones</u>

• Discussion welcome in the Grow IETF WG

ASPA

• Additional object in RPKI to define upstreams for a defined ASN

• Provides infrastructure to do lightweight path validation

- Still in draft state
 - <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-sidrops-aspa-profile/</u>

RTA

• Resource Tagged Attestations

• General-purpose system to sign objects in RPKI

• Allows more data and information to be put into RPKI

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-michaelson-rpki-rta/

What can you do?

Call to Action

• Implement routing BCPs, RPKI OV and MANRS

• See for tips and tricks: <u>http://bgpfilterguide.nlnog.net/</u> <u>https://rpki.readthedocs.io/</u>

• Support MANRS: https://www.manrs.org/

MANRS For Network Operators

Filtering Prevent propagation of incorrect routing information

Ensure the correctness of your own announcements and announcements from your customers to adjacent networks with prefix and AS-path granularity Anti-spoofing Prevent traffic with spoofed source IP addresses

Enable source address validation for at least single-homed stub customer networks, their own end-users, and infrastructure

Coordination

Facilitate global operational communication and coordination between network operators

Maintain globally accessible, up-to-date contact information in common routing databases

Global Validation

Facilitate validation of routing information on a global scale

Publish your data so others can validate

MANRS for IXPs

Action 1 Prevent propagation of incorrect routing information

Implement filtering of route announcements at the Route Server based on routing information data (IRR and/or RPKI). Action 2 Promote MANRS to the IXP membership

Provide encouragement or assistance for IXP members to implement MANRS actions. Action 3 Protect the peering platform

Have a published policy of traffic not allowed on the peering fabric and perform filtering of such traffic. Action 4 Facilitate global operational communication and coordination

Facilitate communication among members by providing necessary mailing lists and member directories. Action 5 Provide monitoring and debugging tools to the members.

Provide a looking glass for IXP members.

MANRS for CDNs and Cloud Providers

Action 1 Prevent propagation of incorrect routing information

Ensure correctness of own announcements and of their peers (non-transit) by implementing explicit (whitelist) filtering with prefix granularity. Action 2 Prevent traffic with illegitimate source IP addresses

Implement antispoofing controls to prevent packets with illegitimate source IP address from leaving the network (egress filters). Action 3 Facilitate global operational communication and coordination

Maintain globally accessible, up-todate contact information in PeeringDB and relevant RIR databases. Action 4 Facilitate validation of routing information on a global scale

Publicly document ASNs and prefixes that are intended to be advertised to external parties (IRR and/or RPKI) Action 5 Encourage MANRS adoption

Actively encourage MANRS adoption among the peers. Action 6 Provide monitoring and debugging tools to the peering partners

Provide a mechanism to inform peering partners if announcements did not meet the requirements of the peering policy.

MANRS For Vendors ?

Increased MANRS support. Vendors next?

- Operators, IXPs and CDN/Cloud providers are on board
- Next up are vendors.
 - Initial brainstorm call last week
 - Juniper publicly voiced support <u>https://blogs.juniper.net/en-us/industry-solutions-and-trends/building-a-better-and-safer-interne</u> <u>t-with-manrs</u>
 - Bring in more vendors (ask your favorite vendor about supporting MANRS)



max@stucchi.ch, melchior@juniper.net