-130270 8--109

Internet Governance Update

Chris Buckridge External Relations Officer

10 September 2013



- RIPE NCC is a neutral, bottom-up organisation, responsive to its membership and community But...
- In ER terms, the RIPE NCC is NOT neutral!
 - We take strong positions on behalf of the membership and community
 - We defend the bottom-up, multi-stakeholder model
 - We argue against the expansion of top-down Internet governance practices



Defending the RIPE Model

- Bottom-up industry self-governance has proved itself a successful model for Internet growth and stability
- There is a role for government in Internet governance, as one of many stakeholder groups
- Top-down regulation poses a threat to the Internet
 - Unintended consequences result from governance processes that lack input from the operational community



Recent and Upcoming Activities

- European Union/Commission engagement
- ITU CWG-Internet online consultation submission
- UN CSTD Working Group on Enhanced Cooperation questionnaire
- Internet Governance Forum(s)
 - Global (Bali, October 2013)
 - EuroDIG (June 2013)
 - Arab IGF (October 2013)



European Union/Commission Engagement

- Electronic identification (eID) and trust services
 - Commission proposed Regulation
 - Discussion in the RIPE Cooperation Working Group at RIPE 66, presentation by Mr Andrea Servida (EC)
- RIPE community concerns:
 - Broad scope of regulation, particularly re. trust services
 - Potential for conflict with open security specifications
 - "Trusted list" vs "chain of trust"
- RIPE NCC sent a letter to MEPs in June 2013

https://www.ripe.net/internet-coordination/internet-governance/multi-stakeholderengagement/eu/ripe-ncc-letter-to-meps-regarding-eid/view



European Union/Commission Engagement

- Network Information Security (NIS)
 - Commission has proposed a Directive
 - Community concern (again) at the broad scope of the proposal
- RIPE NCC speaking with community about best approach
 - Monitoring the related NIS Platform



ITU CWG-Internet

- Council Working Group on international Internet-related public policy issues
- Closed group (and likely to stay that way)
- Internet public consultation issued early 2013

 Joint RIR response to questions regarding:
 (a) unused legacy IPv4 addresses, and
 (b) inter-region transfers of IPv4 addresses

http://www.nro.net/wp-content/uploads/cwg-internet-EC-final-letterhead.pdf

• Focus on history and importance of bottom-up governance of number distribution/registration



UN CSTD Working Group on EC

- UN General Assembly invited CSTD Chair to establish a working group to "examine the mandate of the WSIS regarding enhanced cooperation"
 - Public questionnaire issued following first WG meeting in June 2013
- RIPE NCC response noted:
 - Significant achievements in EC over past decade
 - Need for broad understanding of EC

https://www.ripe.net/internet-coordination/news/industry-developments/cstd-wg-response

Internet Governance Forum (IGF)

- Concerns about 2013 event going ahead
 - Highlights need for solid funding basis
 - NRO committed USD100k; RIPE NCC has committed additional USD50k (as have APNIC and ARIN)
- What we'll be talking about:
 - IP address situation: IPv4 markets, legacy space
 - Multi-stakeholder security
 - Importance of regional organisation, governance structures and processes



- EuroDIG
 - Participated in June 2013, 600 participants
 - RIPE NCC goals
 - Increase technical community representation
 - Highlight governance aspect of technical issues
- Arab IGF
 - Second event October 1-3, Algiers
 - RIPE NCC major supporter, addressing regional interest in Internet registry system



Regional IGF Events

- RIPE NCC sponsors youth participants at both Arab IGF and EuroDIG
 - "Knowledge exchange"
 - Youth participants from outside Arab region sponsored to participate in Arab IGF, youth participants from outside Europe sponsored for EuroDIG



- ITU events
 - -WTDC 2014; WSIS+10; Plenipotentiary 2014
- OECD developments
 - IPv6 statement, study
 - Work ongoing re. OECD Internet governance principles
- Ongoing commitment to IGF-style events
 - Driving greater technical community participation



Questions?



