### **RIPENCC, Oregon Route Views & AMSIX**

**Comparative analysis of BGP update metrics** 

Alexander Tudor, Agilent Labs RIPE 46, Amsterdam, September 1, 2003



#### Agenda

#### Rationale

- Metric Taxonomy
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusions
- Credits



•Rationale •Metric Taxonomy •Methodology

- Results
- Conclusions
- Credits

- •Degree of similarity amongst collection points
- •Effects of single/multi hop & keep alive on/off
- •Geographic correlation



Metric Taxonomy

Methodology

Results

Conclusions

Credits

#### **Metric Taxonomy**

#### # announcements

- •# withdrawals
- •# AS path changes (per peer prefix sum)



Metric Taxonomy

Methodology

Results

Conclusions

Credits

## Methodology I

#### •Collection points:

- RIPENCC multi hop, keep alive off
- Oregon Route Views multi hop, keep alive on
- AMSIX single hop, keep alive on

#### •Select time period with following characteristics:

- continuous collection at all three points
- small # of erroneous update packets ( illegal attributes, type 14 usually )
- contains traffic originating in all three geographies
- daily prefix activity

# •Extract metrics data vectors ( one measurement point every 15 minutes )

# •Compute time cross-correlation & compare metric distributions



#### **Methodology II**

•Rationale •Metric Taxonomy •Methodology •Results •Conclusions •Credits

- •Study period is April 3 through 5, 2003
- •All peers included at each location
- •< %0.1 updates lost due to illegal update attribute packets</p>
- •132 prefixes were active daily (9 beacons)
- •7x/16, 2x/18, 4x/19, 3x/20, 4x/21, 5x/22, 7x/23, 100x/24
- •60x ARIN, 29x APNIC, 31x RIPE, 12x LACNIC





# announcements

3000

1500

500

0.5

0.1

<u>-</u>

0.9

0.7

ß ö

c,

ö

normalized values

coefficient 0.3

Comparative Analysis of BGP **Update Metrics** 

**RIPE 46 Amsterdam** September 1, 2003

normalized values

0.4

Metric Taxonomy

#### Methodology

- Results
- Conclusions
- Credits

#### **Results - Withdrawals**



Comparative Analysis of BGP Update Metrics RIPE 46 Amsterdam September 1, 2003

Metric Taxonomy

- Methodology
- Results
- •Conclusions
- Credits

#### **Results – AS path changes**



Comparative Analysis of BGP Update Metrics RIPE 46 Amsterdam September 1, 2003

#### **Conclusions** – I

•Rationale •Metric Taxonomy •Methodology •Results •Conclusions •Credits

•There is strong cross-correlation between the time series of all metrics at all three collection points

•The strongest cross-correlation occurs at zero lag ( good metric synchronization within 15 minutes )

•Some metrics have better distribution similarity ( withdrawals and AS path changes vs. announcements )

 Metrics are well synchronized independent of single/multi hop, keep alive on/off & geography

•Multi/single hop metric distributions similarities are weaker



#### **Conclusions – II**

•Rationale •Metric Taxonomy •Methodology •Results •Conclusions •Credits

#### Announcements:

- are better time correlated between multi-hop collectors ( 0.9 vs. 0.6 )
- AMSIX has higher median values than either RIPENCC or Oregon
- quantile-quantile plot shows high degree of similarity between multi-hop locations;weaker similarity between single/multi hop

#### •Withdrawals:

- time correlate well (0.9) in all cases
- quantile-quantile plot shows strong distribution similarity between multi-hop locations; weaker similarity between single/multi hop

#### •AS path changes:

- time correlate well (0.9) in all cases
- quantile-quantile plot shows strong distribution similarity in all cases



### **Conclusions III – So What ?**

Rationale
Metric Taxonomy
Methodology
Results
Conclusions
Credits

#### •Prefix metrics at all locations are interchangeable

- •Metrics are independent of geography in 15 minute buckets
- •Metrics are not sensitive to number of peers or their type ( full-feed or partial )





#### •RIPENCC RIS team

- Oregon Route Views team
- •Jonathan Li, Agilent Labs



#### **Results – Distribution Densities**



Comparative Analysis of BGP Update Metrics RIPE 46 Amsterdam September 1, 2003

#### **Results – Community changes**



Comparative Analysis of BGP Update Metrics RIPE 46 Amsterdam September 1, 2003