IPv4 Address Lifetime Expectancy Revisited

Geoff Huston
September 2003
Presentation to the RIPE 46 Plenary

Research activity supported by APNIC

The Regional Internet Registries s do not make forecasts or predictions about number resource lifetimes. The RIRs provide statistics of what has been allocated. The following presentation is a personal contribution based on extrapolation of RIR allocation data.




IPv4 Address Lifetime Expectancy

- This was an IETF activity starting as part of the Routing and Addressing (ROAD) activity in the early 1990's
- The objective was to understand the rate of allocation of IPv4 addresses and make some predictions as to the date of eventual exhaustion of the unallocated address pool
- This is a re-visiting of this activity with consideration of additional data derived from the characteristics of the BGP routing table



- A 32 bit field spanning some 4.4B entries
- The IETF, through standards actions, has determined some space to be used for global unicast, some for multicast and some held in reserve
- IANA has allocated some unicast space to the RIRs for further allocation and assignment, assigned some space directly, and reserved some space for particular purposes

The IPv4 Top Level Structure





- A number of views can be used to make forward projections:
 - The rate at which IPv4 number blocks are passed from IANA to the RIRs
 - The rate at which RIRs undertake assignments of IPv4 address blocks to LIRs and end users
 - The growth of the number of announced addresses in the BGP routing table

Data Sets

- IANA IPv4 Address Registry
 - Allocation of /8 blocks to RIRs and others
- RIR Stats files
 - Allocation of blocks to LIRs
- BGP Routing table
 - Amount of address space advertised as reachable



IANA Allocations


- The IPv4 address registry records the date of each /8 allocation undertaken by the IANA
- This data has some inconsistencies, but is stable enough to allow some form of projection



- The allocation dates for those address blocks prior to 1995 are inaccurate
 - The earliest date is 1991, and a large block has been recorded as allocated in 1993.
 - This is inconsistent with dates recorded in the RIR stats files, which record allocations back to 1983
 - It would appear that there was a revision of the IANA registry in the period 1991 – 1993, and the IANA recorded dates are the revision dates
 - Useable dates appear to start from allocations from 1995 onwards




IANA – Current Status




IANA Allocations

IANA Allocations (/8)



IANA Projections

IANA Allocation Projection





IANA Projections


- This projection of 2019 for IANA address pool exhaustion is very uncertain because of:
 - Sensitivity of allocation rate to prevailing RIR assignment policies
 - Takeup of applications that require end-to-end IP addressing vs use of NATs
 - Potential use of a further 16 /8s currently reserved by the IETF




RIR Allocations

 The RIR stats files records the date of each allocation to an LIR, together with the allocation details

RIR Allocations – Current Status




RIR Allocations /8 Address Allocation Status - by /8




RIR Allocations

RIR Allocations



RIR Projections

RIR Allocations - Projection






 This projections of 2026 for 221 /8s and 2029 for 237/8s has the same levels of uncertainty as noted for the IANA projections




- The BGP routing table spans a set of advertised addresses
- A similar analysis of usage and projection can be undertaken on this date


The Route Views view



The AS1221 view




BGP Routing Table - Status




BGP Address Allocations /8

Address Allocation Status - by /8




Age of Unannounced Blocks

Age Distribution of Unannounced Address Space (/8s)




Age of Unannounced Blocks (cumulative)

Cumulative Age Distribution of Unannounced Address Space (/8s)




BGP Address Span

BGP Table - Address Span



BGP Projections

BGP Announced Address Space - Projection




BGP Projections

- This projection of 2027 (221 /8s) and 2028
 (237 /8s) uses a 3 year baseline
 - This is much shorter baseline than the IANA and RIR projections
 - There are, again, considerable uncertainties associated with this projection

- Comments received about this projection have prompted me to review the BGP address data and see if a more detailed analysis of the BGP data modifies this model
- It appears to be the case that there is a different view that can be formed from the data:.....

 Firstly, here's the raw data – hourly measurements over 3 years.


BGP Data





- The most obvious noise comes from flaps in /8 advertisements.
- The first step was to remove this noise by recalculating the address data using a fixed number of /8 advertisements
- The value of 19 was used to select one of the 'tracks' in the data


Filter to 19 /8s





- This is still noisy, but there is no systematic method of data reduction that can efficiently reduce this noise.
- At this stage I use gradient smoothing, limiting the absolute values of the first order differential of the data (gradient limiting) to smooth the data


Gradient Filtered Data






- At this stage further smoothing is necessary in order to reduce the data set to allow projection models to be generated
- The technique used is a sliding window average, with a window of 1501 entries

Smoothed Average




Smoothed Address Advertisement Data






- Its now possible to apply a best fit function to the data.
- A linear best fit appears to be the most appropriate fit:...

Linear Squares Best Fit




- An inspection of the first order differential of the data reveals why the linear fit is considered to be the most appropriate for the available data.
- While the period through 2000 shows a pattern of an increasing first order differential that is consistent with an exponential growth model, subsequent data reveals an oscillating value for the differential, and a linear model provides a constant first order differential
- This linear model appears to be the most conservative fit to the data, although the most recent data shows a highly visible slowdown in the rate

daily rate of change in address growth per month



Combining the Data


IPv4 Address Space



IANA RIR BGP

Recent Data


IPv4 Address Space



IANA RIR BGP

Holding Pools: RIR Pool and Unannounced Space

Size of Holding Areas (/8)



UnAnn


RIR



Modelling the Process

- Assume that the RIR efficiency in allocation slowly declines, so that the amount of RIR-held space increases over time
- Assume that the Unannounced space shrinks at the same rate as shown over the past3 years
- Assume an exponential best fit model to the announced address space projections and base RIR and IANA pools from the announced address space projections, using the above 2 assumptions


Modelling the Process





- Assume that the RIR efficiency in allocation slowly declines, then the amount of RIR-held space increases over time
- Assume that the Unannounced space shrinks at the same rate as shown over the past3 years
- Assume <u>linear</u> best fit model to the announced address space projections and base RIR and IANA pools from the announced address space projections

Modelling the Process



Observations

- Extrapolation of current allocation practices and current demand models using an exponential growth model derived from a 2000 – 2003 data would see RIR IPv4 space allocations being made for the next 2 decades, with the unallocated draw pool lasting until 2022 - 2024
- The use linear growth model sees RIR IPv4 space allocations being made for the next 3 decades, with the unallocated draw pool lasting until 2030 – 2037
- Re-introducing the held unannounced space into the routing system over the coming years would extend this point by a further decade, prolonging the useable lifetime of the unallocated draw pool until 2038 – 2045
- This is just a model reality tends to express its own will!

Questions

- Will the routing table continue to reflect allocation rates (i.e. all allocated addresses are BGP advertised)?
- Is the model of the unadvertised pools and RIR holding pools appropriate?
- Externalities:
 - What are the underlying growth drivers and how are these best modeled?
 - What forms of disruptive events would alter this model?
 - What would be the extent of the disruption (order of size of the disruptive address demand)?