

Voting options for the RIPE NCC Board elections

Axel Pawlik

6 May 2009 / AGM / Amsterdam

http://www.ripe.net



Introduction

- Voting procedure and process not amended since 1997
- Little participation with membership growth Percentage decrease - never above 5% of members
 - Improved with holding GM next to RIPE meeting
- Voting process
 - Paper ballot, multiple rounds with majority vote (only onsite voting)
 - With many candidates very time consuming
 - No formal objective oversight on voting process (Election committee)



Current system

Features

- Multiple voting rounds
- Paper ballots
- Only onsite voting
- Complex proxy system (up to 2 weeks in advance)

Issues

- For some registries impossible to vote distance/costs
- Little representation below 5% of members
- Time consuming voting



Voting methodology

- Single voting round
 - One vote for one candidate
 - Candidate with highest number of votes wins (no majority vote)
- Instant Run-Off Voting
 - Votes ranks candidates in order
 - Candidate with lowest number of votes drop and these votes are reassigned based on the order of the voters choice to another candidate
 - Single round of voting
 - Majority vote
 - Preferential votes for other candidates are possible

http://wikipedia.org....





Voting systems

Postal voting via paper balloting

Remote voting using the LIR portal

Remote voting via an online system







Postal voting via paper balloting

Pro

Low initial cost - no IT Infrastructure investments

Con

- Postal services are unreliable throughout our region
- Time consuming processing
- Long voting process to allow votes to come in
- No verification of votes for members



Remote voting using LIR Portal

Pro

- Fairly simple organisation
- Current 8 week timeline can be maintained
- Process can be verified by external parties
- LIR portal reliable application

<u>Con</u>

- Members cannot verify their vote is cast correctly
- High cost to build application
- RIPE NCC in charge of whole election process



Remote voting via Online System RIES

<u>Pro</u>

- Fairly simple organisation
- Current 8 week timeline can be maintained
- Members can verify their vote
- Process outsourced insuring integrity of results
- Process can be verified by external parties
- Reliable system on RIPE NCC Infrastructure

Con

High cost to build application



Comparison of voting systems

Requirements	Current System	Postal voting via paper balloting	Remote voting using the LIR Portal	Remote voting via an online system
Reliability	+	-	+	+
Accuracy	+	-	+	+
Verification of results by users	-	-	+	+
Voting secrecy	+	-	-	+
Assurance on integrity of results	+	-	-	+
Organisational effort	Medium	High	Low	Low
Investment	Low	Low	High	High
Adjustment and maintenance for each elections	High	High	Low	Low

Axel Pawlik 6 May 2009 / AGM / Amsterdam 9



Questions? Discussion

