



RIPE NCC

RIPE NETWORK COORDINATION CENTRE

Introduction to RPKI

Webinar

RIPE NCC Learning & Development



**This session is
being recorded**



Agenda

BGP and Internet Routing

- Is BGP secure?

Routing Security with RPKI

- What is RPKI?
- Building Blocks of RPKI
- BGP Origin Validation (BGP OV)



BGP and Internet Routing

Is BGP secure?




BGP, the Protocol of the Internet!


How does it work?




How does it work?



How does it work?



How does it work?



How does it work?





How does it work?

Does this belong to AS200?



AS200: "I have 2001:db8:..."




BGP Update
2001:db8:2000::/48, AS200

BGP table
2001:db8:1000::/48 AS100



How does it work?






BGP assumes that everybody is telling the truth!


But what if someone lies?

A hijacker may impersonate the legitimate holder!






... and may announce the exact same prefix!



This is a **local hijack!** Only some networks are affected based on BGP path selection




... or may announce a more specific prefix!



This is a **global hijack!** All traffic for prefix P will be forwarded to the hijacker's network



It is also possible to hijack the AS path!



The attacker claims that it has a shorter path to prefix P and hijacks the BGP path!



It happens...

- Because there is no built-in security in BGP!
 - Any AS can announce any prefix
 - Anyone can prepend any ASN to the BGP path
 - BGP announcements are accepted without validation
- Incorrect routing information can be propagated all over the Internet



Malicious BGP incidents

- An attacker may use BGP hijack for different purposes, such as...
 - censorship
 - stealing cryptocurrency
 - traffic interception and eavesdropping
 - blackholing the entire network
 - stealing credentials
 - sending spam...

Take the poll!

Are all BGP incidents caused by attacks? Are all of them malicious?





Not all BGP incidents are intentional!



Sometimes they are just human errors...

- Typo errors
 - Also known as “fat fingers”
 - May cause mis-origination
- Configuration errors

Faulty BGP filter configuration


- Causes routing policy violations
- Unintentional route leaks

AS path prepending mistake

- May cause origin change
- Or forged AS path



A few notable incidents from recent years



April 2021: BGP hijack by Vodafone Idea, AS55410



- What happened?
 - 34,000+ prefixes hijacked!
 - Impacted major network operators, cloud and CDN providers
 - 13 times more traffic than usual
- Why did it happen?
 - Caused by wrong advertisement
 - Lack of good filtering by upstream providers


April 2020: Akamai, Amazon and Alibaba



- What happened?
 - 8k+ routes hijacked by Rostelecom (AS12389)
 - 200+ CDNs and cloud providers impacted
 - Not known how much data leaked
- Why did it happen?
 - Malicious activity
 - Lack of good filtering by upstream providers/peers




Earlier this week there was a large scale BGP hijack incident involving AS12389 (Rostelecom) affecting over 8,000 prefixes. Many examples were just posted on [@bgpstream](#), see for example this example for [@Facebook](#) bgpstream.com/event/230837





April 2018: Amazon - MyEtherWallet


- BGP hijack of Amazon DNS
- How did it happen?
- Why?
 - Attack to steal cryptocurrency





November 2018: Google prefix leak


- MainOne leaked Google routes to CT
- CT propagated them to several transit ISPs
- Google services (G Suite and Google Search) affected by the leak
- Due to misconfigured filters





April 2016: AWS route leak

- Private AS originated Amazon's prefixes, but more specific
- Innofield leaked these routes to its upstream
- No big impact because most ISPs didn't accept the bogus route
- Caused by misconfigured route optimiser





Accidental or intentional...
Internet routing infrastructure is **affected!**



In order to secure routing...

- We need to verify the routing information
 - Has the announced prefix been originated by the legitimate holder?
 - Has someone tampered with the AS path of the BGP update?
- Prevent propagation of incorrect routing information

But how?



1. **Check prefixes** before announcing



2. **Register** your routing information in **IRRs**



3. **Filter** BGP routes from your peers, customers and upstreams



4. Implement BGP filters based on **verifiable information**



These measures are good, but not enough!



Concerns with the IRR system

1

Not globally deployed

Just distributed databases

2

No central authority

Who will verify the accuracy of the data?

3

**No verification of
holdership**

Anyone can input anything

4

Not updated properly

Information is missing,
outdated or incorrect

As a result...



IRRs are not so accurate



Data in IRRs is incomplete



They're not well-maintained

IRR filters are good **only if the IRR entries are correct!**



That's why the Internet community came up with the **RPKI** solution!



Routing Security with RPKI

What is RPKI?

What is RPKI?

- RPKI is ...
 - a **resource certification** (X.509 PKI certificates)
 - a security framework
- It is used to make Internet routing more secure and reliable





How does RPKI help with routing security?

- Verifies the association between resource holders and their Internet number resources.
 - Proves holdership through a public key and certificate infrastructure
- Used to validate the **origin of BGP announcements**
 - Is the originating ASN authorised to originate a particular prefix?
- Stepping stone to “**Path Validation**”



Implementing RPKI helps to prevent...


- BGP Origin Hijacks
 - Caused by malicious activities
- Mis-origination
 - Due to typos/fat fingers
- Route leaks
 - Caused by configuration mistakes



How is it different than the IRR system?

- RPKI is based on RIRs as Trust Anchors
 - RIRs have control over the accuracy of registered data
- Cryptography is used to verify the holdership
 - Provides data you can trust

How does it work?





How does it work?

- RPKI attaches a digital certificate to IP addresses and AS numbers





- Digital signatures authorise the use of resources
 - Private key to sign, public key to validate



How to provide trust in RPKI?

- It relies on the 5 RIRs as Trust Anchors
- Certificate structure follows the RIR hierarchy
- RIRs issue certificates to resource holders




Root Certificate

- RIRs have a **self-signed** root certificate for all resources (0/0 for IPv4, ::/0 for IPv6)
- This signs the resource certificates for all member allocations




LIR Certificate

- Resource certificate for member allocations
- Signed by root's private key
- Binds LIR's resources to LIR's public key
- Proves legitimate holdership for the LIR's resources




Authorised Statement

- Called as ROA (Route Origin Authorisation)
- Cryptographically signed object
- Signed by LIR's private key



LIR's **private** key

RPKI Chain of Trust



Route Origin Authorisation (ROA)

- Contains a list of address prefixes and an AS number
- LIRs can create a ROA for their resources
- Multiple ROAs can exist for the same prefix
- ROAs can overlap

ROA	
Prefix	2001:db8::/48
Max Length	/48
Origin AS	AS65536

Prefix

Origin ASN

Max Length

2001:db8::/48

The network for which you are creating the ROA

Route Origin Authorisation (ROA)

- Contains a list of address prefixes and an AS number
- LIRs can create a ROA for their resources
- Multiple ROAs can exist for the same prefix
- ROAs can overlap

ROA	
Prefix	2001:db8::/48
Max Length	/48
Origin AS	AS65536

Prefix

Origin ASN

Max Length

AS65536

The ASN expected to originate the BGP announcement

Route Origin Authorisation (ROA)

- Contains a list of address prefixes and an AS number
- LIRs can create a ROA for their resources
- Multiple ROAs can exist for the same prefix
- ROAs can overlap

ROA	
Prefix	2001:db8::/48
Max Length	/48
Origin AS	AS65536

Prefix

Origin ASN

Max Length

/48

The max prefix length the ROA is authorised to advertise



Routing Security with RPKI

Building Blocks of RPKI



Elements of RPKI

- The RPKI system consists of two parts

SIGNING

+

VALIDATION


SIGNING

Create ROAs for your prefixes
in the RPKI system

RIPE NCC RPKI Dashboard

3 CERTIFIED RESOURCES ALERTS ARE SENT TO 5 ADDR

 2 BGP Announcements

 2 Valid  0 Invalid  0 Unknown

 2 ROAs

 2 OK  0 Causing problems




BGP Announcements

Route Origin Authorisations (ROAs) History

Search...

 Create ROAs for selected BGP Announcements

Valid  Invalid  Unknown

<input type="checkbox"/> Origin AS	Prefix	Current Status	
<input type="checkbox"/> AS2121	193.0.24.0/21	VALID	
<input type="checkbox"/> AS2121	2001:67c:64::/48	VALID	

Show 25 ▾

[Looking for ROA Certification for PI resources?](#)

[Revoke hosted CA](#)



SIGNING

Create ROAs for your prefixes
in the RPKI system

RIPE NCC RPKI Dashboard

3 CERTIFIED RESOURCES ALERTS ARE SENT TO 5 ADDR

 2 BGP Announcements

 2 Valid  0 Invalid  0 Unknown

 2 ROAs

 2 OK  0 Causing problems

BGP Announcements



Route Origin Authorisations (ROAs)

History

Search...

 Create ROAs for selected BGP Announcements

Valid  Invalid  Unknown

<input type="checkbox"/> Origin AS	Prefix	Current Status	
<input type="checkbox"/> AS2121	193.0.24.0/21	VALID	
<input type="checkbox"/> AS2121	2001:67c:64::/48	VALID	

Show 25

[Looking for ROA Certification for PI resources?](#)

[Revoke hosted CA](#)


Publication




RIPE NCC RPKI Repository

VALIDATION

Verify information provided by others




→
rsync/RRDP







Validation of ROAs

- ROAs are validated by a **validator**, also known as “relying party software”
 - Validates the **chain of trust** and builds a “**validated cache**”
 - Routinator, Fort, rpki-client, etc.



VALIDATION



Verify information provided by others





RPKI has two implementations

- Hosted RPKI
 - RIRs host CAs for LIRs
 - Automated signing and key rollovers
 - Information published in RIR repository
- Delegated RPKI
 - LIR manages full RPKI system
 - Runs its own CA, manages its own keys/key rollovers
 - Creates ROAs in its own platform



Which RPKI implementation should I choose?



Hosted RPKI

- Easy to implement
 - Request LIR certificate
 - Create your ROAs
- Recommended option if you're not an RPKI expert
- Everything is managed by RIR
 - Signing, key management, publication, etc.

Delegated RPKI

- Gives more control
 - Create ROAs in your own platform and keep in your repository
 - Sign and publish your ROAs
 - Store your keys, manage key rollovers
- Good option if you have resources from many RIRs
 - Single system to manage all your ROAs
- Option to delegate to customers




Routing Security with RPKI

BGP Origin Validation (BGP OV)




BGP Origin Validation (BGP OV)

- RPKI-based route filtering
- BGP announcements are compared to the valid ROA
- Origin ASN and Max Length must match!
- Router decides the validation states: **Valid**, **Invalid** and **Not Found**




ROA	
Prefix	2001:db8::/32
Max Length	/32
Origin AS	AS65536


How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?




How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?




How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?




How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?



How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?



How does RPKI validate the origin of BGP routes?



Take the poll!

If a ROA is cryptographically **invalid**, will it make my route invalid?






What to do with invalids?



For BGP origin validation to achieve its goal...

- Invalids should be dropped!



Tag the invalids with BGP communities

- Or set lower local preference for invalids (not a long-term solution)



After analysing the effect, you can start discarding invalids



Is BGP OV with RPKI enough for BGP security?

- It is only the first step
 - can not help if the AS Path is modified (forged origin attacks)
- It is a stepping stone to “**Path Validation**”
- The ultimate goal is to validate the full BGP path by using **RPKI certificates**
 - BGPsec (RFC 8205)
 - ASPA (draft)
 - AS-Cones (draft)

A global RPKI ecosystem enhances routing security!



- RPKI is a powerful mechanism
 - Prevents BGP hijacks, mis-originations and route leaks
 - Currently used for validating the origin AS
 - Stepping stone to BGP path validation
- RPKI is opt-in
 - It will only work if every network agrees to abide by it
- Currently ~35% of the Internet uses RPKI validation
 - BGP hijacking may cause significant damage unless the majority implements it



Let's deploy RPKI today!

Give support for secure Internet routing
and
help to mitigate routing incidents globally!

RPKI Test Dashboard



<https://localcert.ripe.net/#/rpki>

- You can create test ROAs for your BGP announcements
- It doesn't affect your network
- It's just a test dashboard
- You need to sign in with your RIPE NCC Access account



Questions



We Want Your Feedback!



What did you think about this session? Take our survey at:

<https://www.ripe.net/feedback/rpki/>






Learn something new today!
academy.ripe.net





RIPE NCC Certified Professionals



<https://getcertified.ripe.net/>

What's Next in BGP



Webinars

Attend another webinar live wherever you are.

- ❖ BGP Filtering (1 hr)
- ❖ Deploying RPKI (2 hrs)
- ❖ Introduction to RPKI (1 hr)
- ❖ Internet Routing Registry (1 hr)

↓ For more info click the link below



learning.ripe.net



Face-to-face

Meet us at a location near you for a training session delivered in person.

- ❖ BGP Routing Security (6.5 hrs)



E-learning

Learn at your own pace at our online Academy.

- ❖ BGP Security (10 hrs)

↓ For more info click the link below



academy.ripe.net



Examinations

Learnt everything you needed? Get certified!

- ❖ BGP Security Associate

↓ For more info click the link below



getcertified.ripe.net

Ěnn	Соңы	An Críoch	پایان	Ende	Y Diwedd	
Vége	Endir	Finvezh	վերջ	Кінець	Koniec	
Son	დასასრული	הסוף	Tmíem	Liđugt	Finis	
Lõpp	Amaia	Loppu	Slutt	Крај	Kraj	
Kraj	Sfârșit	النهاية	Конец	Koniec	Fund	
Fine	Fin	Einde	Fí	Крај	Beigas	Τέλος
Fim	Slut				Pabaiga	



Copyright Statement

[...]

The RIPE NCC Materials may be used for **private purposes, for public non-commercial purpose, for research, for educational or demonstration purposes**, or if the materials in question specifically state that use of the material is permissible, and provided the RIPE NCC Materials are not modified and are properly identified as RIPE NCC documents. Unless authorised by the RIPE NCC in writing, any use of the RIPE NCC Materials for advertising or marketing purposes is strictly forbidden and may be prosecuted. The RIPE NCC should be notified of any such activities or suspicions thereof.

[...]

Find the full copyright statement here:

<https://www.ripe.net/about-us/legal/copyright-statement>

